

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/437,111		10/16/2001	AKITSUGA NINJOUJI	SUD-002-USA-	7535
27955	7590	03/07/2006		EXAMINER	
TOWNSE		NTA	KUHNS, SARAH LOUISE		
PO BOX 52			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
MINNEAP	OLIS, MN	55402		1761	

DATE MAILED: 03/07/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
		09/437,111	NINJOUJI ET AL.				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
		Sarah L. Kuhns	1761				
	The MAILING DATE of this communication app	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
Period for A SH	IT REPIY ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL	Y IS SET TO EXPIRE <u>3</u> MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS,				
- Exter after - If NO - Failu Any r	CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING Dominions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. It period for reply is specified above, the maximum statuory period re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timwill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status							
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 14 M	larch 2005.					
2a)⊠	This action is FINAL . 2b) This	action is non-final.					
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
	closed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	53 O.G. 213.				
Dispositi	on of Claims						
4)🖂	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1 and 7-16</u> is/are pending in the application.						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
· —	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
-	Claim(s) <u>1 and 7-16</u> is/are rejected.						
	Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement					
0)	are subject to restriction and/o	i election requirement.					
Applicati	on Papers						
9) 🗌	The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.					
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) \square acc	•					
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the						
111	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex-						
,—	•	danimer. Note the attached Office	Action of form F10-132.				
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).							
a) _l	☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority document	s have been received					
	2. Certified copies of the priority document		on No.				
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior	· ·					
	application from the International Burea		C				
* 5	See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not receive	ed.				
Attachmen		_					
	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da					
3) Infor	ie of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (P10-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) ir No(s)/Mail Date		Patent Application (PTO-152)				
	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •						

DETAILED ACTION

The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

Claims 1 and 7-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. It is unclear what is considered to be "one moment." It is also unclear as to where the yeast in the turbid liquid filtrate comes from. Further, claim 1 includes a step of "separating a clear liquid filtrate from another part of said low alcoholic unrefined sake" and it is not clear what part this is referring to. Lastly, the use of parentheticals should be avoided.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

Claims 1 and 8-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Ninchiyouji, JP 10295356 A. A machine translation of the document has been included with this Office Action. Additionally, an official translation has been requested and will be forwarded to Applicant when received. This rejection is under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) because while the priority date of December 29, 1998 is acknowledged, no English translation of the priority document has been received. Once a translation is submitted the rejection will become one under 35 U.S.C. 102(a).

In regard to claims 1 and 13, Ninchiyouji discloses a method of producing sparkling low alcohol content sake comprising the steps of saccharifying and fermenting steamed rice and malted rice under the conditions that acidity exceeds 3.5 for at least one moment n the process during a stage of unrefined sake wherein acidity is a titratable amount with 0.1 N NaOH needed to neutralize 10 mL of Japanese sake; filtering a part of the unrefined sake with a filtering material having a coarse mesh to obtain a turbid liquid containing yeasts and having a fermenting activity and compressing another part of the unrefined sake to separate a clear liquid, mixing the turbid liquid with the clear liquid and putting into a bottle, and stopping the fermentation at the time when the gas pressure attains 2-5 kg/cm² caused by the fermentation inside the sealed vessel (abstract).

In regard to claim 8, Ninchiyouji discloses the claimed blending ratio (paragraph 10).

In regard to claim 9-11, Ninchiyouji discloses the fermentation being carried out at 6-10°C and for a period of time between 5 days and 2 weeks (paragraph 10).

In regard to claim 12, it is notoriously well known that koji breaks down the rice starch to produce acids, such as lactic acids. Therefore, the process of Ninchiyouji is inherently carried out in the presence of lactic acid.

In regard to claims 14 and 15, Ninchiyouji discloses pasteurization being conducts when the liquid therein reaches an alcoholic content of 4-6% by volume, Japanese sake scaling of between -70 and -90, and an acidity of 3-4.

In regard to claim 16, the machine translation relates to "fizz" low alcoholic sake, which the Examiner interprets to mean carbonated sake.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

Claim 7 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ninchiyouji, as applied above. While it appears from the machine translation that the absorbency at 600 nm of Ninchiyouji is between 0.2 and 2, which is higher than that claimed, it is not seen how the absorbency claimed results in an unexpected result.

Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Sarah L. Kuhns whose telephone number is 571-272Application/Control Number: 09/437,111

Art Unit: 1761

1088. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday from 8:00 am - 4:30 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Milton Cano can be reached at 571-272-1398. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

SLK

MILTON I. CANO SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1700

Page 5